

EVALUATION OF PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
(Ohio Teacher Evaluation System)

A determination of the efficiency and effectiveness of the teaching staff is a critical factor in the overall operation of the District. The Board evaluates teachers in accordance with State law and standards-based statewide teacher evaluation framework adopted by the State of Education (SBOE).

The Board directs the Superintendent/designee to implement this policy in accordance with State law. The requirements of this policy prevail over any conflicting provisions of collective bargaining agreements entered into on or after September 24, 2012.

Notwithstanding Ohio Revised Code Section (RC) 3319.09, this policy applies to any person employed under a teacher license issued under RC 3319, or under a professional or permanent teacher's certificate issued under former RC 3319.222, and who spends at least 50% of the time employed providing content-related student instruction. This teacher evaluation policy does not apply to substitute teachers or instructors of adult education.

Credentialed Evaluators

Evaluations carried out under this policy are conducted by persons holding evaluator credentials established by the Ohio Department of Education (ODE). Evaluators must complete state-sponsored evaluation training and pass the online credentialing assessment. The Board adopts a list of approved credentialed evaluators chosen from ODE's list.

Effectiveness Rating

Teachers are assigned an effectiveness rating of Accomplished, Skilled, Developing or Ineffective. This rating will be determined based on 50% teacher performance and 50% student growth measures. Student growth will be determined through multiple measures.

Annually, the Board submits to the ODE the number of teachers assigned an effectiveness rating, aggregated by the teacher preparation programs from which, and the years in which, the teachers graduated. The name of, or any personally identifiable information about, any teacher reported in compliance with this provision cannot be required.

### Teacher Performance Calculation

Teachers are evaluated via two formal observations and periodic classroom walk-throughs. The teacher performance measure is based on the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.

The Superintendent/designee selects/develops evaluation tools to calculate teacher performance. The Board directs the Superintendent/designee to develop procedures for these evaluation tools.

### Student Growth Calculation

For the purpose of this policy, student growth means the change in student achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. Student growth is evaluated by a combination of:

- 1) Value-added data or an alternative student academic progress measure if adopted under RC 3302.03 (C)(1)(e):
- 2) ODE-approved assessments and/or
- 3) Board-determined measures.

When available, value-added data or an alternative student academic progress measure if adopted under RC 3302.03(C)(1)(e) shall be included in the multiple measures used to evaluate student growth in proportion to the part of the teacher's schedule of courses or subjects for which the value-added progress dimension is applicable.

If teacher's schedule is comprised only of courses or subjects for which value-added data is applicable, the entire student academic growth factor of the evaluation for such teachers shall be based on the value-added progress dimension.

Value-added data based on the results of state assessments administered in the 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 school years will not be used to assess student academic growth. Such data also will not be used when making dismissal, retention, tenure or compensation decisions. The Board may administer ODE-approved assessments and/or local measures of student growth using state-designed criteria and guidance for teachers of subjects where value-added scores from state assessments are not available. Evaluations for teachers of grade levels and subject areas for which the value-added progress dimension is applicable, and where no other measure is available to determine student academic growth, shall be based solely on teacher performance.

Students with 45 or more excused or unexcused absences during the full academic year will not be included in the calculation of student academic growth. Data from Board-determined multiple measures will be converted to a score of:

- 1) Least Effective
- 2) Approaching Average
- 3) Average
- 4) Above Average or
- 5) Most Effective student growth levels.

## Professional Growth and Improvement Plans

Teachers with a final summative rating of Accomplished must develop professional growth plans and choose their credentialed evaluators from the Board-approved evaluator list.

Teachers with a final summative rating of Skilled must develop professional growth plans collaboratively with their credentialed evaluators from the Board-approved evaluator list and will have input on their credentialed evaluator.

Teachers with a final summative rating of Developing must develop professional growth plans with their credentialed evaluators. The Superintendent/designee approves the professional growth plan and assigns the credentialed evaluator.

Teachers with a final summative rating of Ineffective must develop an improvement plan with their credentialed evaluators. The Superintendent/designee approves the improvement plan and assigns the credentialed evaluator.

## Evaluation Time Line

District administrators evaluate teachers annually. Annual evaluations include two formal observations at least 30 minutes each and periodic classroom walk-throughs. Teachers, who are on limited or extended contracts pursuant to State law and under consideration for nonrenewal, receive at least three formal observations during the evaluation cycle.

All teacher evaluations are completed by May 1. Teachers evaluated under this policy are provided with a written copy of their evaluation results by May 10.

The Board evaluates teachers receiving effectiveness ratings of Accomplished on those teachers' most recent evaluations carried out under this policy, and whose student growth

measures are at least average or higher every three years. Such evaluations are completed by May 1 of the evaluation year. Teachers evaluated on this basis are provided a written copy of their evaluation results by May 10 of the evaluation year. In years when an evaluation will not take place, one observation is carried out and at least one conference with the teacher is held.

The Board evaluates teachers receiving effectiveness ratings of Skilled on those teachers' most recent evaluations carried out under this policy, and whose student growth measures are at least average or higher every two years. Biennial evaluations conducted under this policy are completed by May 1 of the evaluation year. Teachers evaluated on a biennial basis are provided a written copy of their evaluation results by May 10 of the evaluation year. In years when an evaluation will not take place, one observation is carried out and at least one conference with the teacher is held.

### Testing for Ineffective Teachers in Core Subjects

Beginning with the 2015-2016 school year, teachers of core subject areas, as defined by State law, who have received a rating of Ineffective for two of the three most recent school years must register for and take all written examinations of content knowledge selected by ODE.

### Retention and Promotion

The Board uses evaluation results for retention and promotion decisions. The Board adopts procedures for use by District administrators in making retention and promotion decisions based on evaluation results.

Seniority shall not be the basis for making retention decisions, except when choosing between teachers who have comparable evaluations.

### Poorly Performing Teachers

The Board uses evaluation results for removing poorly performing teachers. The Board adopts procedures for removing poorly performing teachers based on evaluation results.

### Professional Development

The Board allocates financial resources to support professional development in compliance with State law and the SBOE's evaluation framework.

[Adoption date: July 1967]  
[Re-adoption date: April 20, 1989]  
[Re-adoption date: December 20, 1989]  
[Re-adoption date: June 20, 2013]  
[Re-adoption date: August 21, 2014]  
[Re-adoption date: June 15, 2017]

LEGAL REFS.: ORC 3319.11; 3319.111; 3319.112; 3319.114; 3319.16; 3319.58  
Chapter 4117  
OAC 3301-35-05

CROSS REFS.: AF, Commitment to Accomplishment  
GBL, Personnel Records  
GCB, Professional Staff Contracts and Compensation Plans

CONTRACT REF.: Teachers' Negotiated Agreement

*Unless using the alternative framework, 50% of the teacher's evaluation must be based on student growth measures. Student growth must be based on multiple measures, including value-added data where it is available. Local boards of education may administer assessments chosen from the ODE assessment list for teachers of subjects where value-added scores are not available, and/or local measures of student growth using state-designed criteria and guidance. The multiple measures designated by the Board for teachers may vary based on subject level and grade taught and should be determined at the district level. The board-determined measures should be consistent for teachers teaching the same subject and/or grade level. The remaining 50% of the evaluation is based on teacher performance measured by the Ohio Standards for the teaching profession.*